



RICHARD RIPLEY

rickr@ruyakcherian.com

202-838-1569

Practices:

Litigation, Antitrust and Competition, Trademark and Copyright, Trade Secrets and Non-competes, Healthcare, Internal Investigations and Crisis Management, Class Action Defense

Bar Admissions:

District of Columbia
Pennsylvania
Virginia

Court Admissions:

United States Supreme Court
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit
U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia
U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland
U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan
U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey
U.S. District Court for the Eastern and Middle Districts of Pennsylvania
U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin

Education:

The George Washington University Law School, JD, *with Honors*
Ohio State University, BA, *cum laude*

Recognitions:

Selected as one of the Top Lawyers in Washington, D.C. and Baltimore for Commercial Litigation by *Legal Leaders*, ALM Media Properties, LLC, 2015

Mr. Ripley is a trial attorney experienced in a wide range of subject matters, including antitrust, trademark, copyright, trade secret, healthcare, internal investigations, crisis management, U.S. criminal investigations and prosecution, and class action defense.

Mr. Ripley's client base spans a diverse collection of industries: healthcare, medical devices, pharmaceuticals, internet security and technology, software, telecommunications, microprocessors, energy, chemicals and consumer goods.

Before joining RuyakCherian LLP, Mr. Ripley was selected as one of the Top Lawyers in Washington, D.C. and Baltimore for Commercial Litigation by Legal Leaders, ALM Media Properties, LLC, 2015. Mr. Ripley has handled litigation in every Circuit, appearing in 31 states and the District of Columbia.

Representative Trials:

Afilias PLC v. Architelos, Inc., (E.D. Va.). Won \$10 million jury verdict for Afilias on theft of trade secret, conversion and conspiracy claims involving internet security technology.

Verdeo Syndicatum Corp. v. Murray Energy Corp., (N.D. W. Va.). Secured preliminary injunctive relief enjoining defendant's threatened non-performance of a Ventilation Air Methane Project Agreement.

The Medical Center at St. Elizabeth's Place v. MedAmerica Corp., et al. Representing a physician-owned hospital bringing a Section 1 group boycott claim against competitor hospitals in Dayton, Ohio.

Go Figure, Inc. v. Curves International, Inc. Defended world's largest fitness center franchise against Section 1 tying and Section 2 attempted monopolization claims brought by former supplier of Curves club management software.

Arminak & Associates, Inc. v. MeadWestvaco Calmar, Inc. Defended global manufacturer of trigger sprayers accused of violating federal and state antitrust laws through alleged exclusive dealing contracts and below-cost pricing.

In re Cathode Ray Tubes Antitrust Litigation. Defended manufacturer of cathode ray tubes in defense of national direct and indirect purchaser class actions alleging price fixing conspiracies in violation of federal and state antitrust laws.

In re Organic Peroxide Antitrust Litigation. Represented manufacturer of organic peroxide in defense of national direct and indirect purchaser class actions alleging price fixing conspiracies in violation of federal and state antitrust laws.

In re Intel Microprocessors Antitrust Litigation. Represented leading manufacturer of microprocessors in more than 80 class actions in United States District Court, District of Delaware, alleging that client's marketing and sales practices violated federal and state antitrust laws. Based on a discovery plan and class strategy that Rick developed, the court denied class certification.

United States v. Dentsply International Inc. Won a trial verdict in a case brought by the Department of Justice's Antitrust Division alleging that a client's exclusive dealer policy violated Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act.

In re Disposable Contact Lenses Antitrust Litigation. Defended Johnson & Johnson at trial against complaint brought by a national consumer class and 32 state attorneys general alleging that the client's selective distribution policy regarding its sale of contact lenses violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act. Defeated three separate state class actions bringing similar claims.

Alvord-Polk, Inc., et al. v. F.C. Schumacher Co. Tried to a hung jury a Sherman Act group boycott claim challenging a client's selective distribution system.

Southern Marine Supply v. Benrock, Inc, et al. Represented dominant supplier of marine engine parts and accessories in defense of a group boycott claim brought under Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act.

Publications & Speaking Engagements

Richard A. Ripley, *Teladoc Antitrust Case Offers 3 Lessons For State Boards*, Law 360 (January 4, 2016, 2:39pm), <http://www.law360.com/articles/742083/teladoc-antitrust-case-offers-3-lessons-for-state-boards>

Richard A. Ripley, *Safe Harbor Needed For Arbitral Rights In Absent Class Claims*, Law 360 (November 24, 2015, 10:12 AM), <https://www.law360.com/articles/730752/safe-harbor-needed-for-arbitral-rights-in-absent-class-claims>

Kyle Musgrove & Richard A. Ripley, *Reverse Payment Settlements: Presumptively Bad or Usually Acceptable*, CPI Journal (June 27, 2012), <https://www.competitionpolicyinternational.com/reverse-payment-settlements-presumptively-bad-or-usually-acceptable/>

Richard A. Ripley, *Go Figure v. Curves International Exhibits The Difficulty of Imposing Antitrust Scrutiny to the Franchise Dynamic*, The Newsletter of the Distribution and Franchising Committee, American Bar Association Antitrust Section, Vol. 16, No. 2, June 2012.

Mark Glueck & Richard Ripley, *In re Hydrogen Peroxide Antitrust Litigation Bleaches Clean the Class Certification Standard*, the antitrust source (February 2009), http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/publishing/antitrust_source/Feb09_SourceFull2_26f.authcheckdam.pdf